# <sup>44</sup>Ti and <sup>56</sup>Ni from Type II supernova explosions

#### G. Magkotsios (ND), A. Hungerford (LANL), C. Fryer (LANL), P. Young (ASU), F.X. Timmes (LANL, ASU)

#### A JINA – LANL collaboration



# Motivation

- Both are supernovae diagnostic
- $\cdot^{44}\text{Ti} \rightarrow {}^{44}\text{Sc} \rightarrow {}^{44}\text{Ca}$ 
  - Primary channel for <sup>44</sup>Ca synthesis
  - Observational constraints in Cassiopeia A
- COMPTEL for <sup>44</sup>Ti  $\gamma$  -rays, BeppoSAX PDS for <sup>44</sup>Sc ones •  $^{56}Ni \rightarrow ^{56}Co \rightarrow ^{56}Fe$ 
  - <sup>56</sup>Fe X-ray lines observed
  - Large fraction of <sup>56</sup>Ni expected
  - Restrict upper/lower limits
    - Recent Chandra data (1 Ms X-ray observation)

# Terminology

- Burning regimes
  - Nuclear Statistic Equilibrium (NSE)
  - Quasi Static Equilibrium (QSE)
- Hydrodynamic simulations
  - Parameterized post-shock analysis (freeze-out)

$$\frac{dT}{dt} = -\eta \frac{T}{\tau_T} \qquad \frac{d\rho}{dt} = -\eta \frac{\rho}{\tau_\rho}$$

 2D & 3D Smooth Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH) of core collapse supernovae

# Contour plots for <sup>44</sup>Ti and <sup>56</sup>Ni yields



### Changing peak density



# Contour plots for <sup>44</sup>Ti and <sup>56</sup>Ni yields



### Changing peak temperature



## SPH contour plot for <sup>44</sup>Ti



#### Freeze-out vs post-processing



 $\boldsymbol{X}$ 

# Yields for $Y_e = 0.498$ and $Y_e = 0.502$



# Outlook

- With the parameterized shock wave approximation we may avoid the post processing in SPH models
- For "proton rich" matter, <sup>44</sup>Ti abundance decreases dramatically
- . More calculations to be done
  - Greater accuracy in NSE calculations
  - How much is the total mass of each isotope ?