GLOBAL PREDICTIONS OF NUCLEAR FISSION PROPERTIES FOR ASTROPHYSICS

P. Möller and A. J. Sierk (LANL)

J. Randrup (LBL)

T. Ichikawa (RIKEN), H. Sagawa (AIZU), and A. Iwamoto (JAEA)

R. Bengtsson, Henrik Uhrenholt, and S. Åberg (LUND)

Many of the topics I discuss are treated in more detail in the more recent papers on my web page: http://t16web.lanl.gov/Moller/abstracts.html

Potential Energy of Deformation

We use the macroscopic-microscopic method introduced by Swiatecki and Strutinsy:

$$E_{\rm pot}({\rm shape}) = E_{\rm macr}({\rm shape}) + E_{\rm micr}({\rm shape})$$
 (1)

The macroscopic term is calculated in a liquid-drop type model (for a specific deformed shape).

The microscopic correction is determined in the following steps

- 1. A shape is prescribed
- A single-particle potential with this shape is generated.
 A spin-orbit term is included.
- The Schrödinger equation is solved for this deformed potential and single-particle levels and wave-functions are obtained
- The shell correction is calculated by use of Strutinsky's method.
- The pairing correction is calculated in the BCS or Lipkin-Nogami method.









Model	A/C	a_1	a_2	J	Q	L	$\mu_{ m th}$	$\sigma_{\mathrm{th};\mu=0}$
		(MeV)	(MeV)	(MeV)	(MeV)	(MeV)	(MeV)	(MeV)
(02)	1 / 1	16 047	22.02	20 72	20.21	0.00	0.0156	0 6600
(92)		10.247	22.92	32.13	29.21	0.00	0.0150	
(92)	1/3						0.1755	0.4617
(92)	1/2						0.0607	0.6314
(92)-a	1/1	16.245	23.02	32.22	30.73	0.00	0.0000	0.6614
(92)-a	1/3						0.0174	0.4208
(92)-a	1/2						0.0114	0.6180
(92)-b	1/1	16.286	23.37	32.34	30.51	0.00	0.0000	0.6591
(92)-b	1/3						0.0031	0.4174
(92)-b	1/2						0.0076	0.6157
(06)-a	2/2	16.274	23.27	32.19	30.64	0.00	0.0000	0.6140
(07)-b	2/2	16.231	22.96	32.11	30.83	0.00	0.0000	0.5964
(09)-a	1/1	16.143	22.41	33.00	27.50	86.22	0.0000	0.6166
(09)-a	1/3						0.0533	0.4091
(09)-a	1/2						0.0230	0.5808
(09)-b	2/2	16.145	22.43	32.53	28.53	72.59	0.0000	0.5788







SCISSION-SURFACE MODEL OF YIELD The yield at each point on the scission surface

$$Y \approx \rho(E^*) = \exp(2\sqrt{a(E^* - E_{\text{pot}}(I, J, K, L, N))})$$

The mass yield at $A_{\rm H}$, $Y(A_{\rm H})$, is then the sum of the yield at all points with asymmetry $A_{\rm H}$.



We see in this approach (1) the peaks are offset from the data and (2) the energy dependence is deficient.

It is a plausible assumption that the final yields depend on the dynamical evolution of the system from the initial (ground-state-like) compound system towards separation.

Recently J. Randrup suggested a novel treatment of the dynamical evolution in the 5D energy-deformation space that is sufficiently tractable that modern computer resources permit us to explore this approach for all nuclei at all excitation energies above the barrier.

First results of our implementation of these ideas are highly encouraging.

SUMMARY

On the near (1–5 years) frontier we anticipate

- New mass table with more accurate gs deformations and accuracy better than 0.58 MeV.
- Associated improved β -decay half-lives and EQS parameters.
- A microscopic (non-phenomenological) fission-Yield model that can be applied to all nuclei at all energies above the barrier.
- Since we have barriers and β-decay properties calculated for all heavy nuclei we will have essential new data required for network end-of-rprocess calculations.

Further details can be found on: http://t16web.lanl.gov/Moller/abstracts.html

