Systematics of strength functions of isoscalar dipole and monopole modes

J. Terasaki (Univ. of North Carolina at Chapel Hill)

- Quasiparticle random-phase approximation
- Systematics of strength functions: IS 1<sup>-</sup> Ni and 0<sup>+</sup> Sn
- Incompressibility of nuclear matter

July. 14, 2005, Notre Dame

Quasiparticle random phase approximation (QRPA)

What approximation?

- Excitations are represented by linear combinations of 2-quasiparticle creation and annihilations (if there is no pairing correlations, 1p-1h creation and annihilation).
- 2. Good for collective vibrations (the more harmonic, the better).

#### Scheme of solution

- $HFB \leftarrow Dobaczewski et al. Nucl. Phys. A422 (1984) 103$ q.p. wave functions are obtained.
- $QRPA \leftarrow matrix formulation$ Cf. e.g. D.J. Rowe, Nuclear Collective Motion

#### HFB

self-consistent mean field and pair field w.f. of canonical basis, uv factors two-body interaction

QRPA

Self-consistent Spherical symmetry J.T. et al., P.R.C 71, 034310 (2005) Interaction used

Skyrme interaction for particle-hole matrix elements

```
SkM*, SLy4, SkP, SkO'
```

For particle-particle and hole-hole matrix elements

Volume-type pairing interaction (no density dependence)

Definitions of the transition operators used ( $J^{\pi}=0^+$ , IS)

$$F_{00}^{IS} = \frac{eZ}{A} \mathop{a}_{i=1}^{A} r_i^2$$

used by G.F. Bertsch, S. Kamerdzhiev, S. Sagawa (not recent ones) ...

Energy-weighted sum rule (EWSR)  $\dot{\mathbf{a}}_{k} E_{k} \left| \left\langle k \left| F_{00}^{IS} \right| \mathbf{0} \right\rangle \right|^{2} = 2 \frac{e^{2} \hbar^{2}}{m} \frac{Z^{2}}{A} \left\langle r^{2} \right\rangle$ 

Energy-weighted sum rule, 0<sup>+</sup> (SkM\*)



# Energy-weighted sum rule, 0<sup>+</sup> (SkM\*)



## Energy-weighted sum rule, 0<sup>+</sup> (SkM\*)



Energy-weighted sum rule, 1<sup>-</sup> (SkM\*)





#### Energy-weighted sum rule, 1<sup>-</sup> (SkM\*)



Energy-weighted sum rule, 2<sup>+</sup> (SkM\*)



EWSR( $0^+$ )/EWSR( $2^+$ ) =  $\frac{8p}{25}$ @1

Energy-weighted sum rule, 2<sup>+</sup> (SkM\*)



## Energy-weighted sum rule, 2<sup>+</sup> (SkM\*)



## Separation of the spurious component; $J^{\pi} = 1^{-}$ mode



correction  $F_{1M}(\underline{r}) = \overset{\mathbf{ae}}{\underset{\mathbf{e}}{\mathbf{e}}}^3 - \frac{5}{3} \langle r^2 \rangle r \overset{\mathbf{\ddot{o}}}{\underset{\mathbf{e}}{\mathbf{f}}} Y_{1M}(O)$ 

## Separation of the spurious component; $J^{\pi} = 0^{+}$ mode

Strength function for the neutron number operator










































































































At A = 154 - 162 (N = 104 - 112) ground states : deformed














## Exp. data

# S.Shlomo and D.H. Youngblood, Phys.Rev.C, **47**, 529 (1993) Tab.III However,

#### D.H. Youngblood et al., Phys.Rev.Lett., 82, 691 (1999)

|                   | TAMU 1998       |              | Previous Work   |              | TAMU 1998                     | TAMU 1998                  |              |
|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|
|                   | Gaussian        |              | Gaussian        |              | Gaussian                      | Slice Analysis             |              |
|                   | Cross Section   |              | Cross Section   |              | E0 Strength                   | E0 Strength                |              |
|                   | Centroid<br>MeV | error<br>MeV | Centroid<br>MeV | error<br>MeV | $rac{m_1/m_0}{\mathrm{MeV}}$ | ${m_1/m_0 \over { m MeV}}$ | error<br>MeV |
| <sup>90</sup> Zr  | 16.44           | 0.07         | 16.10           | 0.28         | 16.80                         | 17.89                      | 0.20         |
| <sup>116</sup> Sn | 15.77           | 0.07         | 15.60           | 0.16         | 16.00                         | 16.07                      | 0.12         |
| <sup>144</sup> Sm | 15.16           | 0.11         | 15.10           | 0.14         | 15.31                         | 15.39                      | 0.28         |
| <sup>208</sup> Pb | 13.91           | 0.11         | 13.90           | 0.30         | 14.24                         | 14.17                      | 0.28         |

TABLE I. GMR energies and errors in MeV.

15.50 0.20 in 1993

| TAMU 1998<br>Adopted Energies<br>E0 Strength |       |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| $(m_1/m_{-1})^{1/2}$                         | error |  |  |  |  |
| MeV                                          | MeV   |  |  |  |  |
| 17.81                                        | 0.35  |  |  |  |  |
| 15.90                                        | 0.07  |  |  |  |  |
| 15.25                                        | 0.11  |  |  |  |  |
| 14.18                                        | 0.11  |  |  |  |  |

Peak energy of GMR and  $K_{nm}$ 





D.Vretenar et al., P.R.C **68**, 024310 (2003)







Exp.: S.Raman et al., Atom.Dat.Nucl.Dat.Tab. **78,** 1 (2001), D.C.Radford et al., P.R.L. **88**, 222501 (2002); talk in ENAM04

### Transition probabilities of the lowest 2<sup>+</sup> states of Sn



# Effective mass (nuclear matter) / bare mass



# Summary

- Systematic QRPA calculations have been done for even Sn, Ni, and Ca with J<sup>π</sup>=0<sup>+</sup>,1<sup>-</sup>, and 2<sup>+</sup> from the proton drip line to the neutron drip line with a few parameter sets of the Skyrme interaction.
- Compression modulus was deduced from the experimental data of <sup>112,116,120,124</sup>Sn using the QRPA calculation;

K<sub>nm</sub>=204.8 ±7.9 MeV.

SkP seems good.

I would like to thank

W. Nazarewicz, J. Engel, J. Dobaczewski, M. Bender, and M. Stoitsov

for their great helps to this research.