Supernova-Induced Turbulent Mixing and the Broader Impact of SNe on Galactic & Proto-Galactic ISMs



www.JINAweb.org

By

Dinshaw S. Balsara(dbalsara@nd.edu) Univ. of Notre Dame & Joint Inst. Nucl. Astro.

<u>Plan of This Talk</u>

1) <u>The Broader Impact of SNe</u>: Supernovae and their relation to the Interstellar Medium, Both Galactic & Proto-Galactic, An Overview.

2) Simulations and Movies

3) A Very Brief Overview of Other SN-Driven Physics and its Relation to SEGUE

4) A Study of Bulk Turbulent Mixing

5) Diffusion Down to the Molecular Level

6) Conclusions

1) The Broader Impact of SNe: Supernovae and their relation to the Interstellar Medium, Both Galactic & Proto-Galactic, An Overview.

<u>Let's adopt a Working Model</u> : SNe put energy into ISM making it turbulent and triggering star formation.

1) Supernovae <u>dominate the energy input</u> in our Galactic ISM. More energetic than winds from massive stars by an order of magnitude!

2) Determine the pressure distribution in our Galaxy.

3) Determine the fractions of <u>gas that resides in hot, warm and cold</u> <u>phases</u>. – Low mass stars only form in the cold phase.

4) Establish the <u>turbulent velocity spectrum</u> in the ISM.

5) Drive <u>magnetic field generation</u>. – Low mass star formation requires magnetic fields to resolve the angular momentum problem.

6) <u>Eject metals</u> into the ISM. That in turn determines the <u>cooling</u> <u>efficiency</u>.

7) The turbulence in turn drives the <u>mixing of supernova ejecta</u> into the phases that form the next generation of low mass stars.



Cayrel et al (2004)

Data suggests that very metal poor, halo stars were formed in an environment that was chemically well-mixed.

Various tracers of SN-progenitors in various mass ranges show differing degrees of mixing. (this conf.)

<u>Goal</u> : Find a dynamical testbed for exploring such processes.

2) Simulations and Movies

Vary SN rate, ISM parameters, Ejecta, Metallicity





Movie showing isosurface rendering of the density. The porosity of the ISM is clearly visible.

7



Movie showing isosurface rendering of the pressure. SNRs are spherical initially but become progressively non-spherical as the level of self-consistently generated turbulence rises.





Early time

Late time

Density IsoSurfaces -- SNe-Induced ISM Turbulence





Early time

Late time

Pressure IsoSurfaces -- SNe-Induced ISM Turbulence

3)A Very Brief Overview of Other SN-Driven Physics and its Relation to SEGUE



- 1) Density histogram showing multiphase ISM
- 2) Both the warm and hot phases occupy a wide range of densities!3) There is a substantial amount of intermediate temp. gas, in keeping with observations.

We can trace out the filling factor of hot gas, which is measured by FUSE to be 20%.



Too high a rate of SNe or too under-dense an ISM \rightarrow practically all the gas is turned into the hot phase \rightarrow Star Formation comes to a halt! <u>Too low a rate of SNe</u> \rightarrow Turbulent mixing becomes inefficient! <u>Thus use the simulations to put bounds on the parameters.</u> For the ISM, the filling factors <u>constrain the range of ISM parameters</u>. Do same for proto-Galaxy with the help of SEGUE data. Low mass star formation requires magnetic fields to resolve the angular



1) <u>Fully developed, steady state turbulence</u> after 5 Myr. (Every point₃ has been processed by SNR.)



The problem has
 The problem has
 strong shocks →
 compressive motions
 expected to dominate.
 Expectation not
 realized.

2) <u>Dominant motion is</u> <u>vortical</u> – recall shockdensity interaction!

3) <u>Vorticity helps build</u> <u>helicity → helps make</u> <u>magnetic fields!</u> Thus we have a dynamical explanation for field growth. ¹⁴

4) A Study of Bulk Turbulent Mixing

1) SN-ejecta enrich the ISM with metals.

2) <u>Astro-archeology</u>: Certain r-process ejecta, such as Eu, can even be tracers of SN-progenitor stars in precise mass ranges, Mathews & Cowan (1990).

3) The ejecta can even <u>change the metallicity</u> of the ISM, changing the cooling rate and the formation of molecular gas. The amount of molecular gas, via cooling processes, <u>regulates low mass star formation</u>.

4) Current observations favor efficient mixing.

5) SN-ejecta in a turbulent ISM follow a diffusion equn: $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + v \bullet \nabla \theta = \nabla \bullet (\eta_{turb} \nabla \theta)$ This governs the <u>bulk transport of ejecta</u>.

6) The turbulent diffusivity, $\underline{\eta}_{turb}$, is the parameter of interest. 7) The diffusion is then given by: $\theta(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi \eta_{turb} t}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \theta(x',t=0) \exp\left[(x-x')/4 \eta_{turb}^{15}t\right] dx'$



8) Simulations have gotten to the point where we can <u>read off η_{turb} from</u> the simulations. This can be done for <u>entire ranges of ISM parameters</u>.

9) A mixing length theory can also be constructed so that $\eta_{turb} = \langle v_{rms}^2 \rangle \tau$ τ is the <u>coherence time</u> of the turbulence; $\sqrt{\langle v_{rms}^2 \rangle}$ is the <u>rms velocity</u>.

10) The coherence time and rms velocity can also be read off from the simulations.

5) Diffusion Down to the Molecular Level

1) While the bulk transport of SN-ejecta is given by the above diffusion equation, we also seek a mechanism to mix the metals down to the molecular level.

2) This is important because the <u>molecular diffusivity</u> in the ISM and proto-galactic ISM is almost <u>10 orders of magnitude smaller than the turbulent diffusivity</u>.

3) The molecular diffusion obeys :
$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \bullet \nabla \theta = \nabla \bullet (\eta_{\text{mol}} \nabla \theta)$$

4) Think of cream mixing into coffee: The only way to make the process efficient is to <u>draw the cream out into thin, narrow structures</u> at which point the diffusion of those structures (by the molecular diffusion operator) becomes very efficient.

In the same spirit as mixing cream in a coffee cup, let us <u>take a line</u> <u>segment and trace its evolution</u>. Notice that the segment <u>grows</u> <u>exponentially</u> in time. (0.8Myrs of simulation time are shown) In 0.8 Myrs it almost becomes <u>volume-filling</u>.



In the same spirit as mixing cream in a coffee cup, let us <u>take a line</u> <u>segment and trace its evolution</u>. Notice that the segment <u>grows</u> <u>exponentially</u> in time. (0.8Myrs of simulation time are shown) In 0.8 Myrs it almost becomes <u>volume-filling</u>.





5) The above plot shows the <u>exponential growth of the length of the line</u> segment. The growth time is $\tau_{\text{line}} = 0.1$ Myr so that: $l = l_0 \exp[t/\tau_{\text{line}}]$

6) It can be shown that thin, narrow structures form very fast, i.e. in a time given by 2 τ_{line} !

7) As a result, <u>mixing down to the molecular level is extremely efficient</u> in a SN-driven turbulence.²⁰

6) Conclusions

1) The present study and its planned extensions enable us to explore the <u>broader impact of SNe</u> and their role in processing the current Galactic ISM and proto-Galactic ISM.

2) Coupled with HST and FUSE data such studies enable us to understand the Galactic ISM and even constrain its values.

3) Coupled with <u>SEGUE</u> data such studies will also enable us to understand <u>proto-Galactic ISMs</u>.

4) We have shown how SNe <u>regulate</u> various aspects of the <u>multiphase</u> <u>ISM</u> and the processes that <u>catalyze low mass star formation</u> in such an ISM.

5) The <u>turbulence</u> in the current Galactic ISM and the proto-Galactic ISM <u>is also regulated by SNe</u>. 21

6) The studies have already shown that too high a rate of SNe → cold phase is destroyed → no low mass star formation.
<u>Too low a rate of SNe → turbulent mixing is not efficient enough to be consistent with data</u>.

7) The <u>bulk turbulent mixing</u> can now be quantified as a function of SN-rate, ISM parameters etc.

8) The <u>diffusion down to the molecular level</u> by the formation of thin, narrow structures has been shown to be <u>very efficient</u> in SN-driven turbulence.

9) The next frontier would be to design even more precise <u>numerical</u> <u>experiments that retain information about SN-progenitor masses and</u> <u>yields</u> and use them to arrive at testable predictions that can be compared to even more detailed observations that are coming on line (see next talk).