Remarks about weak-interaction processes

K. Langanke

GSI Darmstadt and TUD, Darmstadt



March 9, 2006

K. Langanke (GSI and TUD, Darmstadt)Remarks about weak-interaction processe

Q-value defined as the total kinetic energy released in the reaction

•
$$\beta^-$$
 decay, $Q_{\beta^-} = M_i - M_f + E_i - E_f$
 $A(Z, N) \rightarrow A(Z + 1, N - 1) + e^- + \overline{\nu}_e$
• β^+ decay, $Q_{\beta^+} = M_i - M_f + E_i - E_f - 2m_e$
 $A(Z, N) \rightarrow A(Z - 1, N + 1) + e^+ + \nu_e$
• Electron capture, $Q_{\text{EC}} = M_i - M_f + E_i - E_f$

$$A(Z, N) + e^- \rightarrow A(Z - 1, N + 1) + \nu_e$$

Fermi's golden rule:

$$\lambda = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \int |\mathcal{M}_{if}|^2 (2\pi\hbar)^3 \delta^{(4)} (p_f + p_e + p_\nu - p_i) \frac{d^3 p_f}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \frac{d^3 p_e}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \frac{d^3 p_\nu}{(2\pi\hbar)^3}$$

$$|\mathcal{M}_{if}|^{2} = \frac{1}{2J_{i}+1} \sum_{\text{lepton spins}} \sum_{M_{i},M_{f}} |\langle f|\boldsymbol{H}_{w}|i\rangle|^{2}$$
$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar^{7}} \int |\mathcal{M}_{if}|^{2} \delta(M_{f}^{\text{nuc}} + E_{e} + E_{\nu} - M_{i}^{\text{nuc}}) p_{e}^{2} p_{\nu}^{2} dp_{e} dp_{\nu} \frac{d\Omega_{e}}{4\pi} \frac{d\Omega_{\nu}}{4\pi}$$

Transition rates for $oldsymbol{eta}$ decay

$$W = E_e/(m_e c^2);$$
 $W_0 = \frac{M_i^{\text{nuc}} - M_f^{\text{nuc}}}{m_e c^2} = \frac{Q}{m_e c^2} + 1$

$$\lambda = \frac{m_e^5 c^4 G_V^2}{2\pi\hbar^7} \int_1^{W_0} C(W) F(Z, W) (W^2 - 1)^{1/2} W (W_0 - W)^2 dW$$

$$C(W) = \frac{1}{G_V^2} \int |\mathcal{M}_{if}|^2 \frac{d\Omega_e}{4\pi} \frac{d\Omega_\nu}{4\pi}$$

F(Z, W) Fermi function, accounts for distortion of the electron (positron) wave function due to Coulomb effects. We need to compute shape factor,

$$C(W) = \frac{1}{G_V^2} \int \frac{1}{2J_i + 1} \sum_{\text{lepton spins}} \sum_{M_i, M_f} |\langle f | \boldsymbol{H}_w | i \rangle|^2 \frac{d\Omega_e}{4\pi} \frac{d\Omega_\nu}{4\pi}$$

between states: $|i\rangle = |J_i M_i; T_i T_{z_i}\rangle; \quad |f\rangle = |J_f M_f; T_f \int_{z_f}; e_{-}; \bar{\nu}\rangle$
K. Langanke (GSI and TUD, Darmstadt)Remarks about weak-interaction processe March 9, 2006 4/33

Current-Current interaction:

$$m{H}_w = rac{G_V}{\sqrt{2}} \int d^3 r \, \mathcal{J}^\mu(m{r}) j_\mu(m{r})$$

$$\langle f | \boldsymbol{H}_{w} | i \rangle = \frac{G_{V}}{\sqrt{2}} \int d^{3}r \langle J_{f} M_{f}; T_{f} T_{z_{f}}; e, \nu | j_{\mu} \mathcal{J}^{\mu} | J_{i} M_{i}; T_{i} T_{z_{i}} \rangle$$

Assuming plane waves for electron and neutrino:

$$\langle e; \nu | j_{\mu} | 0 \rangle = e^{-i(\boldsymbol{p}_{e} + \boldsymbol{p}_{\nu}) \cdot \boldsymbol{r}} \bar{u} \gamma_{\mu} (1 - \gamma_{5}) \boldsymbol{v}$$
$$\langle f | \boldsymbol{H}_{w} | i \rangle = \frac{G_{V}}{\sqrt{2}} I_{\mu} \int d^{3} \boldsymbol{r} e^{-i\boldsymbol{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}} \langle J_{f} M_{f}; T_{f} T_{z_{f}} | \mathcal{J}^{\mu} | J_{i} M_{i}; T_{i} T_{z_{i}} \rangle$$

$$I_{\mu} = \bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}(1-\gamma_5)v$$

Non-relativistic reduction

Assuming one nucleon participates in the decay and that we can use the free current (impulse approximation):

$$\langle f | \boldsymbol{H}_{w} | i \rangle = \frac{G_{V}}{\sqrt{2}} l_{\mu} \int d^{3} r e^{-i\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}} \bar{\psi}_{f} \gamma^{\mu} (1 + g_{A}\gamma_{5}) \boldsymbol{t}_{\pm} \psi_{i}$$

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{p}}{E+M} \end{pmatrix} \phi \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\langle f | \boldsymbol{H}_{w} | i \rangle = \frac{G_{V}}{\sqrt{2}} \int d^{3} r e^{-i\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}} \phi_{f} (l_{0}\mathbf{1} + g_{A}\boldsymbol{l}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \boldsymbol{t}_{\pm} \phi_{i}$$

Generalization to A particles:

$$m{H}_w = rac{G_V}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{k=1}^A e^{-im{q}\cdotm{r}_k} (l_0 \mathbf{1}^k + g_A m{l}\cdotm{\sigma}^k) m{t}_{\pm}^k$$

Non-relativistic reduction

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{w} = \frac{G_{V}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{A} e^{-i\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}_{k}} (l_{0}\boldsymbol{1}^{k} + g_{A}\boldsymbol{l}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{k}) \boldsymbol{t}_{\pm}^{k}$$
$$e^{-i\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}} = \sum_{l} \sqrt{4\pi(2l+1)} (-i)^{l} j_{l}(q\boldsymbol{r}) Y_{l0}(\theta,\varphi)$$
$$j_{l}(q\boldsymbol{r}) \approx \frac{(q\boldsymbol{r})^{l}}{(2l+1)!!}$$

- Zero order: Allowed transitions (Fermi, Gamow-Teller)
- Higher orders: Forbidden transitions.

ft-value

$$\lambda = \frac{\ln 2}{K} \int_{1}^{W_0} C(W) F(Z, W) (W^2 - 1)^{1/2} W (W_0 - W)^2 dW$$

For allowed transitions: C(W) = B(F) + B(GT),

$$\lambda = \frac{\ln 2}{t_{1/2}} = \frac{\ln 2}{K} [B(F) + B(GT)]f(Z, W_0)$$

$$ft_{1/2} = \frac{K}{B(F) + B(GT)}, \quad K = 6144.4 \pm 1.6 \text{ s}$$

K. Langanke (GSI and TUD, Darmstadt)Remarks about weak-interaction processe

-

$$B(F) = \frac{1}{2J_i + 1} \sum_{M_i, M_f} |\langle J_f M_f; T_f T_{z_f}| \sum_{k=1}^{A} t_{\pm}^k |J_i M_i; T_i T_{z_i}\rangle|^2$$
$$B(F) = [T_i(T_i + 1) - T_{z_i}(T_{z_i} \pm 1)] \delta_{J_i, J_f} \delta_{T_i, T_f} \delta_{T_{z_f}, T_{z_i} \pm 1}$$
Energetics (Isobaric Analog State):

$$E_{\text{IAS}} = Q_{\beta} + \text{sign}(T_{z_i})[E_C(Z+1) - E_C(Z) - (m_n - m_H)]$$

Selection rule:

$$\Delta J = 0$$
 $\Delta T = 0$ $\pi_i = \pi_f$

Sum rule (sum over all the final states):

$$S(F) = S_{-}(F) - S_{+}(F) = 2T_{z_i} = (N - Z)$$

Gamow-Teller Transitions

$$B(GT) = \frac{g_A^2}{2J_i + 1} \sum_{m,M_i,M_f} |\langle J_f M_f; T_f T_{z_f}| \sum_{k=1}^A \sigma_m^k t_{\pm}^k |J_i M_i; T_i T_{z_i}\rangle|^2$$
$$B(GT) = \frac{g_A^2}{2J_i + 1} |\langle J_f; T_f T_{z_f}|| \sum_{k=1}^A \sigma^k t_{\pm}^k ||J_i; T_i T_{z_i}\rangle|^2$$
$$g_A = -1.2720 \pm 0.0018$$

Selection rule:

$$\Delta J = 0, 1 \pmod{J_i = 0} \rightarrow J_f = 0 \qquad \Delta T = 0, 1 \qquad \pi_i = \pi_f$$

Ikeda sum rule:

$$S(GT) = S_{-}(GT) - S_{+}(GT) = 3(N - Z)$$

< 4 →

-

Gamow-Teller transitions II

$$\begin{array}{l} \partial^{-} \mbox{ decay } |J_{i}; T, T\rangle \\ \bullet \mbox{ Final state } |J_{f}; T - 1, T - 1\rangle \\ B(GT) &= \frac{2g_{A}^{2}}{2J_{i} + 1} \frac{|\langle J_{f}; T - 1|||\sum_{k=1}^{A} \sigma^{k} t^{k}|||J_{i}; T\rangle|^{2}}{2T + 1} \\ \bullet \mbox{ Final state } |J_{f}; T, T - 1\rangle \\ B(GT) &= \frac{2g_{A}^{2}}{2J_{i} + 1} \frac{|\langle J_{f}; T|||\sum_{k=1}^{A} \sigma^{k} t^{k}|||J_{i}; T\rangle|^{2}}{(2T + 1)(T + 1)} \\ \bullet \mbox{ Final state } |J_{f}; T + 1, T - 1\rangle \\ B(GT) &= \frac{2g_{A}^{2}}{2J_{i} + 1} \frac{|\langle J_{f}; T + 1|||\sum_{k=1}^{A} \sigma^{k} t^{k}|||J_{i}; T\rangle|^{2}}{(2T + 1)(T + 1)(2T + 3)} \end{array}$$

K. Langanke (GSI and TUD, Darmstadt)Remarks about weak-interaction processe

-

11 / 35

Image: A mathematical states and a mathem

Forbidden Transitions

Involve operators $r'Y_{lm}$ and $r'[Y_{lm}\otimes\sigma]^K$ Selection rules

Decay type	ΔJ	ΔT	$\Delta \pi$	log ft
Superallowed	$0^+ ightarrow 0^+$	0	no	3.1-3.6
Allowed	0,1	0,1	no	2.9-10
First forbidden	0,1,2	0,1	yes	5-19
Second forbidden	1,2,3	0,1	no	10-18
Third forbidden	2,3,4	0,1	yes	17-22
Fourth forbidden	3,4,5	0,1	no	22–24

March 9, 2006

Fermi's golden rule:

$$\sigma = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar v_e} \int |\mathcal{M}_{if}|^2 (2\pi\hbar)^3 \delta^{(4)} (p_f + p_\nu - p_i - p_e) \frac{d^3 p_f}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \frac{d^3 p_\nu}{(2\pi\hbar)^3}$$
$$\sigma_{i,f}(E_e) = \frac{G_V^2}{2\pi\hbar^4} F(Z, E_e) [B(F) + B(GT)] p_\nu^2$$

K. Langanke (GSI and TUD, Darmstadt)Remarks about weak-interaction processe

< 行い

4

Charged current: $(Z,A) +
u_e
ightarrow (Z+1,A) + e^-$

$$\sigma_{i,f}(E_{\nu}) = \frac{G_V^2}{\pi} p_e E_e F(Z+1, E_e)[B(F) + B(GT)]$$

Neutral current: $(Z, A) + \nu \rightarrow (Z, A)^* + \nu$

$$\sigma_{i,f}(E_{\nu}) = \frac{G_F}{\pi}(E_{\nu} - w)^2 B(GT_0)$$

with $w = E_f - E_i$ In general, multipoles beyond allowed transitions are necessary. See Donelly and Peccei, Phys. Repts. **50**, 1 (1979).

General considerations: Which multipoles?

Multipole operators O_λ

$$- \sim \left(rac{qR}{\hbar c}
ight)^{\lambda} ; q pprox E_{
u}$$

- successively higher rank with increasing E_{ν}
- Collective nuclear excitations:
 - $[H, O_{\lambda}] \neq 0 \rightarrow$ strength is fragmented
 - centroid $E_{coll}^{\lambda} \sim \lambda \hbar \omega \sim \lambda \frac{41}{\Lambda^{1/3}} \mathrm{MeV}$
- Phase space:
 - $\sim p_{lep} E_{lep} \rightarrow$ high E_{lep} preferred
 - average nuclear excitation $\bar{\omega}$ lags behind with increasing E_{ν}
 - if $E_{\nu} >> \bar{\omega}$, σ sensitive to total strength

Electron capture: energetics during collapse



capture rate becomes less dependent on details of GT distribution with increasing density (chem. potential); for $\rho_{11} > \sim 1$, it depends essentially only on total strength and centroid

Example: electron capture at high electron energy



Assumption: capture proceeds by a single transition $(E_f - E_i = const)$ with a constant strength

March 9, 2006

Remarks about response: Which models?



March 9, 2006



K. Langanke (GSI and TUD, Darmstadt)Remarks about weak-interaction processe

3

Diagonalization shell model.

DIAGONALIZATION APPROACH



REDUCTION OF SIZE DUE TO SYMMETRIES

MODERN ALGORITHM (STRASSBOURG - MADRID)

 LANCZOS ALGORITHM (FEW LOWEST EIGENSTATES)

- STORAGE OF ONLY Hpp, Hnn, Hpn

EFFICIENT ALGORITHM TO CONSTRUCT

 H....> FROM H_{pp}, H_{nn}, H_{pn}

ALL EVEN-EVEN NUCLEI IN PF-SHELL

C-195-2

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

K. Langanke (GSI and TUD, Darmstadt)Remarks about weak-interaction processe

3

Shell Model Monte Carlo.

SHELL MODEL MONTE CARLO

GOAL: DETERMINE NUCLEAR PROPERTIES, NOT ALL ~10⁹ COMPONENTS OF W.F.

→ CONSIDER THERMAL AVERAGE IN CANONICAL (FIXED NUMBER) EMSEMBLE

$$= \frac{\text{Tr}\(e^{-\beta H}A\)}{\text{Tr}\(e^{-\beta H}\)}$$
 $\beta = \frac{1}{T}$

→ HUBBARD - STRATONOVICH TRANSFORMATION

2-BODY ⇒ MANY 1-BODY EVOLUTIONS IN FLUCTUATING EXTERNAL FIELDS

SUPPOSE

$$e^{-\beta H} \rightarrow e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta VO^2} = \int \frac{d\sigma}{\sqrt{2\pi/\beta V}} e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta V\sigma^2} \underbrace{e^{\beta \sigma VO}}_{PROPAGATOR}$$

HOWEVER: MANY NON-COMMUTING O's

$$e^{-\beta H} = (e^{-\Delta\beta H})^{N_t}$$
; $\Delta\beta = \frac{\beta}{N_t}$ "TIME SLICE"

 \Rightarrow SEPARATE σ -FIELDS AT EACH TIME SLICE FOR EACH ()

→ MONTE-CARLO EVALUATION OF σ-INTEGRALS EMBARRASSINGLY PARALLEL

3

C-185-3

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Shell Model versus RPA.



The RPA considers only (1p-1h) correlations; it is well suited to describe the centroid of giant resonances

March 9, 2006 22 / 35

Inelastic electron scattering: RPA description of response



K. Langanke (GSI and TUD, Darmstadt)Remarks about weak-interaction processe

Beta-decay, electron capture, charged-current



Systematics of Fermi and Gamow-Teller centroids



K. Langanke (GSI and TUD, Darmstadt)Remarks about weak-interaction processe

March 9, 2006

How well do we know charged-current cross sections?



RPA vs simple Gaussian: \sim factor 2 (Surmann+Engel)

What about other multipoles?



for neutrino spectrum with T = 4 MeV

March 9, 2006

Influence of higher multipoles

Spectrum for muon decay: $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ + \nu_e + \bar{\nu}_\mu$



K. Langanke (GSI and TUD, Darmstadt)Remarks about weak-interaction processe

Influence of higher multipoles

 $^{56}\text{Fe}(\nu_e,e^-)^{56}\text{Co}$ measured by KARMEN collaboration: $\sigma_{exp}=2.56\pm1.08(\textit{stat})\pm0.43(\textit{syst})\times10^{-40}~\textit{cm}^2$ $\sigma_{th}=2.38\times10^{-40}~\textit{cm}^2$



K. Langanke (GSI and TUD, Darmstadt)Remarks about weak-interaction processe

Typical supernova neutrino spectra



K. Langanke (GSI and TUD, Darmstadt)Remarks about weak-interaction processe

March 9, 2006

Multipole decomposition



K. Langanke (GSI and TUD, Darmstadt)Remarks about weak-interaction processe

Determining inelastic neutrino-nucleus cross sections

INELASTIC NEUTRINO SCATTERING ON NUCLEI









K. Langanke (GSI and TUD, Darmstadt)Remarks about weak-interaction processe

March 9, 2006

∃ ⊳

• • • • • • • • • • • • •

Neutrino cross sections from electron scattering



- high-precision SDalinac data
- large-scale shell model



- neutrino cross sections from
 (e, e') data
- validation of shell model
- G.Martinez-Pinedo, P. v. Neumann-Cosel, A. Richter